UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/529,402  | 03/26/2005  | Dean Burfoot         | 5522-00002          | 6739             |
| 26753 7590 03/30/2010 ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | ORLANDO, AMBER ROSE |                  |
| MILWAUKEE, WI 53202   |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |             | 1797                 |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 03/30/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |            | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|--|
|                 | 10/529,402 | BURFOOT, DEAN |  |
|                 |            |               |  |
|                 | Examiner   | Art Unit      |  |

|  | AMBER ORLANDO  | 1797   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                                  | ress                 |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | ALLOWANCE.   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE         | date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n.<br>.ED WITHIN TWO |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                             |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content of the present additional claims.  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);<br>ter form for appeal by materially rec<br>corresponding number of finally reje | E below);<br>lucing or simplifying th              |                      |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer                               | it canceling the     |  |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-12 and 14-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | ll and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a       |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attache                           | ed.                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  |  | condition for allowand                             | ce because:          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| /Walter D. Griffin/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797  |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                      |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims 1, 12 and 14 state means for forming a robust blanket of sterile air over the work surface which requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner would also like to point out that the term "robust blanket" is not equivalent to layers of air that are parallel with the work surface as the applicant appears to be contending within the arguments. Robust is commonly known to be fully of health or strength, fullness, or fullbodied, Therefore supporting that the layer of filtered air over the work surface would be a strong but not defining that the air would have layers of air that are parallel the the work surface (e.g. laminar flow).